# Doctoral Qualifying Process for DMA in Keyboard Performance

The Doctoral Qualifying Process for Keyboard Performance (MKP) degree is an extended, rigorous examination process through which the accumulated lifetime knowledge, experience, and performance ability of an applicant can be determined to be adequate to pursue independent performance or research projects at a professional level. All MKP DMA students must satisfactorily complete **all components** of the Doctoral Qualifying Process before defending the doctoral essay proposal. NOTE: Application for Admission to Candidacy can be made only after successfully defending the doctoral essay proposal, and such application must be made no later than the semester prior to graduation.

<u>Overview of the Components</u>: The Doctoral Qualifying Process (DQP) for the Doctor of Musical Arts in Keyboard Performance (MKP) will be comprised of the following components.

- **1. Musicology general knowledge:** will be fulfilled with successful completion of approved courses in musicology during the first year of study.
- **2. Music Theory general knowledge:** will be fulfilled with successful completion of an approved 700-level course in music theory prior to Admission to Candidacy.
- **3. Doctoral Qualifying Jury (DQJ):** will be played at the end of the 1<sup>st</sup> or the 2<sup>nd</sup> semester of study during scheduled jury times. If a student chooses to play the Doctoral Qualifying Recital in the first year, Doctoral Qualifying Jury is waived.
- **4. Continuation Interview:** must be scheduled and passed by the end of the 2<sup>nd</sup> semester of study, after successful completion of Doctoral Qualifying Jury (or DQR if played in the first year) as well as having taken the courses required under "musicology general knowledge" component.
- **5. Oral Presentation and Oral Comprehensive Exam:** will be presented and defended typically at the end of the 3<sup>rd</sup> semester of study (or 4<sup>th</sup> semester at the latest) on content pertaining to the program of the Doctoral Qualifying Recital. (This component may be scheduled on a different semester than the DQR.)
- **6. Doctoral Qualifying Recital (DQR):** will be played by the end of the 3rd semester of study after successful completion of the Doctoral Qualifying Jury. This recital counts towards the total number of recitals required by the degree.

### **Recommended Schedule**

FALL SPRING

YEAR ONE		
MCY 626 Keyboard Literature I ^	MCY 627 Keyboard Literature II ^	
Approved 700-level MTC course (or in Spring)	*Doctoral Qualifying Recital	
*Doctoral Qualifying Jury	Continuation Interview	
YEAR TWO		
	**Doctoral Recital	
*Oral Presentation & Comprehensive Exam (late semester)	*Proposal Defense	
YEAR THREE		
**Doctoral Recital	Doctoral Essay Final Defense	

### **Details of the Doctoral Qualifying Process Components**

### **Doctoral Qualifying Process Supervisory Panel**

Each student must choose a panel consisting of 3 members of the full-time, regular MKP department faculty, including the principal teacher/advisor. Ideally, they are the same members that constitute the Doctoral recital committee.

### 1. Musicology General Knowledge

The musicology general knowledge component will be satisfied by successful completion of following course(s) with a passing grade. Students who have taken (a) similar course(s) from another institution may be waived of this requirement but only with an evidence that it (they) included a substantial writing component similar to MCY 626-627. The advisor makes this determination in order to prevent putting the student in a serious disadvantage towards successful completion of all requirements of the Doctoral Qualifying Process.

### **BOTH** of the following required

•	MCY 626	Keyboard Literature I	3 credits	Fall
•	MCY 627	Keyboard Literature II	3 credits	Spring

**NOTE:** Successful completion of the required MCY courses needs to be **reflected in the student's transcripts** before the Admission to Candidacy and DMA essay proposal defense can occur. MCY 626 and 627 must be taken in the first year of study as the feedback from the course instructor will be considered a part of the "ready" or "not ready" determination at the student's Continuation Interview (which takes place at the end of the  $2^{nd}$  semester of study).

*Remediation:* a retake of the course or successful completion of another approved graduate-level MCY course. If the additional course thus taken is beyond the credits required for the degree, the cost associated **will not be** covered by tuition remission

<sup>\*</sup> may be delayed a semester.

<sup>\*\*</sup> may be done a semester earlier.

<sup>^</sup> must be taken in the designated semesters due to course-rotation schedule and to meet the "musicology general knowledge" requirement of the Qualifying Process as described.

or waiver granted by any scholarship awards and is a financial responsibility of the student. A student who fails for the  $2^{nd}$  time will be dismissed from the program.

# 2. Music Theory General Knowledge

The music theory general knowledge component will be satisfied by successful completion of **ONE** of the following 700-level courses at a passing grade.

- MTC 713 Twentieth Century Idioms 3 credits Spring
   MTC 717 Analytical Techniques 3 credits Fall, Spring
   MTC 711 Theory Pedagogy 3 credits Fall
- Another MTC course at 700 level or higher as approved by the Program Director

**NOTE:** Successful completion of the required MTC course needs to be **reflected in the student's transcripts** before the Admission to Candidacy and DMA essay proposal defense can occur.

*Remediation:* a retake of the course or successful completion of another approved graduate-level MTC course. If the additional course thus taken is beyond the credits required for the degree, the cost associated **will not be** covered by tuition remission or waiver granted by any scholarship awards and is a financial responsibility of the student. A student who fails for the  $2^{nd}$  time will be dismissed from the program.

### 3. Doctoral Qualifying Jury

Doctoral Qualifying Jury (DQJ) shall be 20-30 minutes of approved memorized solo program demonstrating the full range of student's technical and musical ability. The program must consist of, at least, **one work newly learned** since matriculating at the Frost School of Music. DQJ must be completed in the 1st or 2nd semester of study\* during the regularly scheduled juries before the Doctoral Qualifying Process Supervisory Panel.

The program must be <u>approved</u>: the program shall be submitted through the principal teacher/advisor to the panel and the DQP Coordinator **no later than a month before the end of classes** for the semester. The performance will be assessed using, at least, the Frost School Jury Appraisal form. Additional assessment criteria may be discussed at the discretion of the jury panel. Two outcomes are possible, pass or fail. The decision will be communicated to the student either immediately following the defense or within 24 hours.

*Remediation:* a make-up is offered once during the subsequent semester if the first attempt took place during the 1<sup>st</sup> semester of study. If the first attempt took place during the 2<sup>nd</sup> semester of study, a make-up is offered during the subsequent semester **only if** the result of the Continuation Interview is favorable.\* A student who fails for the 2<sup>nd</sup> time will be dismissed from the program.

<sup>\*</sup>If the student's Doctoral Qualifying Recital is played by the  $2^{nd}$  semester of study, the DQJ requirement may be waived. Similarly, a subsequent make-up DQJ may be replaced by the DQR, but only if approved by the Program Director and the Advisor.

## 4. Continuation Interview

Continuation Interview must take place at the end of the 2<sup>nd</sup> semester of study. It is normally scheduled together with the date for the Doctoral Qualifying Jury.

DQP components taken thus far together with the student's academic standing in all completed coursework will be considered at the time of the interview. The student's Doctoral Qualifying Process Supervisory Panel will ask clarifying questions to assess student's readiness to continue towards Doctoral Candidacy. If there are areas of concern, whether it be performance or academically oriented, remediation plans will be discussed at this time. The interview typically lasts within 20 minutes.

Two outcomes are possible, **ready** or **not ready** to continue. The decision will be communicated to the student either immediately following the interview or within 24 hours.

*Remediation:* In an event that the student is deemed not ready to continue, the student has an option of either withdrawing from the program or continuing with the program heeding the remediation plans discussed during the interview.

### 5. Oral Presentation and Oral Comprehensive Exam

The student prepares a separate presentation of approximately 20-30 minutes for each composition (or a group of shorter compositions, if appropriate) of the approved Doctoral Qualifying Recital program. The presentation should include visual aid such as PowerPoint or handouts as well as demonstrations at the piano as appropriate. The content should encompass all relevant aspects from the historical, stylistic, theoretical, pedagogical, and performance points of view. At the time of the Oral Presentation, the Doctoral Qualifying Process Supervisory Panel will select random portions from the prepared presentation.

Oral Comprehensive Exam shall immediately follow the Oral Presentation. The defense may take up to 2 hours before a panel.

During the Oral Comprehensive Exam, committee members may ask questions to test the depth and breadth of student's knowledge and understanding relevant to professional-level preparation and performance of works presented in the Doctoral Qualifying Recital. **This may include questions NOT directly related to the Oral Presentation content prepared and the Doctoral Qualifying Recital program.** (For more details, please refer to the guidelines on page 7.)

Two outcomes are possible, **pass** or **fail**. The decision will be communicated to the student either immediately following the defense or within 24 hours.

The Oral Presentation and Oral Comprehensive Exam are scheduled typically in the 3rd (or the 4<sup>th</sup>, at the latest) semester of study. This does not necessarily have to be the same semester as the DQR provided that the program for the DQR is approved prior to scheduling of the Oral Presentation. Scheduling must be done by the student by contacting the student's Doctoral Qualifying Process Supervisory Panel members. The date needs to be communicated to the DQP coordinator no later than 2 weeks prior to the date of the presentation. The presentation and the exam must take place while the classes are in session.

*Remediation:* a make-up is offered once during the subsequent semester. A student who fails for the 2<sup>nd</sup> time will be dismissed from the program.

### 6. Doctoral Qualifying Recital

Doctoral Qualifying Recital (DQR) shall consist of 60-70 minutes of approved, memorized solo program demonstrating the full range of students' technical and musical ability. At least, **a half of the program** must be those **newly learned** since matriculating at the Frost School of Music.

DQR must be completed by the **3**<sup>rd</sup> **semester of study** before the Doctoral Qualifying Process Supervisory Panel. **DQR counts as one of the required recitals for the degree.** 

The program must be <u>approved</u>: the program shall be submitted through the principal teacher/advisor to the panel and the DQP Coordinator **no later than a month before the scheduled recital**. The performance will be assessed using, at least, the Frost School Recital Approval form. Additional assessment criteria may be discussed at the discretion of the panel. Two outcomes are possible, **pass** or **fail**. The decision will be communicated to the student either immediately following the recital or within 24 hours.

*Remediation:* None. The decision of the faculty panel is final.

<u>Summary Checklist:</u>
Doctoral Qualifying Processes for DMA in Keyboard Performance (MKP)

Musicology General Knowledge: successful completion of <b>BOTH</b> of the following in the 1 <sup>st</sup> year of study:  MCY 626 Keyboard Literature I  MCY 627 Keyboard Literature II
Music Theory General Knowledge: successful completion of <b>ONE</b> of the following prior to admission to Candidacy:  MTC 713 Twentieth Century Idioms  MTC 717 Analytical Techniques  MTC 711 Theory Pedagogy  MTC course at 700 level or higher as approved by advisor
<ul> <li>Doctoral Qualifying Jury: must be completed by the end of the 2<sup>nd</sup> semester of study (waived if performing Doctoral Qualifying Recital by the end of the 2<sup>nd</sup> semester)</li> <li>Doctoral Qualifying Jury <u>program approval</u> at least a month before the end of the semester in which the DQJ takes place</li> </ul>
Continuation Interview: must be completed at the end of the $2^{\rm nd}$ semester of study after the DQJ (or DQR)
Oral Presentation and Comprehensive Exam: must be completed by the end of the 4th semester of study (recommended timing: $3^{\rm rd}$ semester of study)
Doctoral Qualifying Recital: must be completed by the end of the 3 <sup>rd</sup> semester of study  Doctoral Qualifying Recital <u>program approval</u> at least a month before the scheduled recital

# <u>APPENDIX</u>

# **Oral Presentation and Comprehensive Exam Guidelines**

- Oral Presentation:
  - To demonstrate the depth and breadth of your knowledge and understanding relevant to professional-level preparation and performance of works presented in the Doctoral Qualifying Recital
- Oral Presentation Length: 20-30 minutes for each composition (or a group of shorter works, if appropriate), of which **random sections will be asked** at the time of the exam
- Oral Presentation Format: lecture
  - Visual aid (PowerPoint, handouts) may be used, but not required. What is important is to choose a format that conveys the content most effectively.
  - Demonstrate with musical excerpts when appropriate, but the playing should be kept within approximately 1/4 of the presentation.
  - o Tone should be what would be considered appropriate for a professional presentation.
- Oral Presentation Content:
  - o Historical and stylistic understanding (composer biography, stylistic categorization, etc.):
    - Do not dedicate too much time on generally-known historical details although they should be mentioned briefly.
    - Do use short keywords during discussion ("this neo-classical work," etc.)
    - Include more detailed/pertinent information to contextualize the specific work in question.
  - Theoretical understanding:
    - The assumption is that you have a thoroughly analyzed the compositions prior to the oral presentation and exam.
    - Highlight pertinent theoretical features of the compositions such as structure, unique use of the form, harmonic language, etc. as they relate to performance.
  - Reference to performance-related and/or pedagogical matters is of utmost importance. This is where you will demonstrate your comprehensive grasp of the art of piano performance.
  - Reference to other compositions by the same composer or comparison to another composer (or a closely related style) should be used, when appropriate, in order to: 1) clarify the points being discussed; 2) to show the breadth of your knowledge.
- Oral Comprehensive Exam:
  - To test the depth and breadth of your knowledge and understanding relevant to professional-level preparation and performance of works presented in the Doctoral Qualifying Recital.
  - This **may include questions NOT directly related** to the Oral Presentation content and the Doctoral Qualifying Recital program. It is important that you prepare properly following the study guide provided to organize your general knowledge of the large piano repertoire.
  - o Oral Comprehensive Exam length: may last up to 2 hours.
- The Oral Presentation and the subsequent Oral Comprehensive Exam will be rated using the rubric (on <u>Page 11</u>). Student must receive an average overall rating of 4 to pass.

# **Doctoral Qualifying Recital/Jury Program Approval Form**



# Doctoral Qualifying Jury/Recital Program Approval Form

Name:	C-number:
Major:	Today's Date:
	Doctoral Qualifying Recital
Program  Place a check mark next to the work(s) learned since	e matriculating at the Frost School of Music.
Studio Faculty's Verification Signature By signing below, I verify that the checked items abo list upon acceptance into the program, and the progr Jury/Recital.	e we have been compared against the student's repertoire ram meets the requirements of the Doctoral Qualifying
Departmental Approval	ApprovedNot Approved

# **Continuation Interview Certification**



# Doctoral Continuation Interview Certification

To be completed at the end of the 2nd semester of study

Name:		C-number:		
Major:				
This is to certify that the student named above has met with the Doctoral Qualifying Process Supervisory Panel as part of the Doctoral Qualifying Process to determine whether the student is ready to continue towards Doctoral Candidacy.				
Recommendation:	Ready	Not Ready*	to continue	
*Concerns and Remediation	on Plans:			
Panel Signatures:				

# Oral Presentation and Oral Comprehensive Exam Certification



# Oral Presentation and Oral Comprehensive Exam Certification

To be successfully completed by the 4th semester of study

Name:	C-number:			
Major:	Today's Date:			
This is to certify that the student named and Oral Comprehensive Exam as part or	above has completed the Oral Presentation f the Doctoral Qualifying Process.			
The result determined by the Doctoral QPassFail.*	The result determined by the Doctoral Qualifying Process Supervisory Panel is a: PassFail.*			
* A make-up presentation and exam is scheduled for, 2, or The decision of the Panel is final.				
Panel Signatures:				

# Oral Presentation and Oral Comprehensive Exam Rubric

Student Name:			Student's	ID:		
Rating of Project (Indica	te semester/year/cou	ırse): / 20	/ MKP (	Other:		T
	Rating Scale and Explanations				Rating (1-5)	
	1 = Unacceptable	2 = Poor	3 = Average	4 = Very Good	5 = Exceptional	
Knowledge of the topic/ Topic Selection	Error(s) in exposition of the topic and/or omission of key source(s)	Personally meaningful, based on some relevant sources but minor omission of key source(s) or use of poor source(s)	Personally meaningful, based on fair review of key sources, but relevancy lacking or parameters unclear	Relevant and personally meaningful, based on good review of key sources with well-defined parameters	Most relevant and original in addition to personally meaningful, based on thorough review of sources	
Research design/methodology	Errors in methodology selection and/or use	Minor methodological errors and/or omissions	Methodology applied correctly and adequately; appropriate documentation	Methodology applied correctly, explained clearly, and documented well	Mastery of finer points of methodology plus elegant application and/or supplementary approaches	
Critical thinking	Muddled presentation with errors in reasoning and/or without much analysis and synthesis	Reasoning sometimes confused, simplistic, and/or not clearly explained	Adequate reasoning, explanation of assumptions, and supporting evidence	Clear reasoning with organized presentation of evidence, assumptions, and conclusions	Clear and organized argument that represents sound, original, and complex thought	
Effective written communication	Writing generally unclear, with consistent errors and/or poor organization	Writing sometimes unclear with weak organization and/or grammatical errors	Writing clear, concise, and organized, with minor or no grammatical errors	Writing generally error-free with clear organization and depth	Elegant writing with fully developed arguments, clear organization, and correct grammar	
Effective oral communication	Presentation generally unclear, with poor organization and/or marred by distracting mannerisms or language	Presentation sometimes unclear, with weak organization, and/or some distracting mannerisms or language	Presentation organized to convey main points of thesis/dissertation clearly and without distractions	Articulate presentation with clear organization and professional language	Elegant, confident, and engaging presentation with clear organization and flow	
Overall Rating	1	2	3	4	5	